This is Freedom Essay 14

The false ‘savage instincts’ excuse leads to the extinction of humans

By Jeremy Griffith, 2018

In this presentation Jeremy Griffith emphasises how extremely sinister and dangerous the false ‘savage instincts’ excuse for human behaviour is.

Watch the presentation here:

This video also appears as Video 14 in the Introductory Series of videos at the top of our homepage at www.humancondition.com*.

The Transcript of this video

The dangerous seductiveness of the ‘savage instincts’ excuse

In my presentation in Video/F. Essay 10* titled ‘What exactly is the human condition?’ I described how fearful we humans have been of the previously unexplained issue of our species’ corrupted, ‘fallen’, innocence-destroyed, Garden-of-
Eden-abandoned human condition. (How our distant ape ancestors came to live in a completely cooperative, loving, innocent, Edenic, moral state is explained in F. Essay 21*, and you can read many wonderful descriptions of this time of innocence in F. Essay 53*. ) I talked about how all our thoughts and actions have been monopolised by that fear—that we have spent almost all of our adult lives working out and perfecting how to avoid the issue of the human condition. I described how we even tried to make it appear as though we weren’t affected by the problem; smiling, joking and generally putting on a brave face. Basically, the minds of ‘resigned’ adult humans have been committed to avoiding any thinking that might bring them into contact with the, until now, unexplained issue of the human condition. (The psychological process of Resignation that adolescents go through to living in denial of the human condition is explained in F. Essay 30*.)

It follows that since scientists are humans suffering from the human condition like everyone else, they too will have been living in fearful denial of the human condition. Clearly such avoidance has been a very serious handicap in their line of work because if you can’t confront a subject you’re in no position to think truthfully and effectively about it.

And, since the study of behaviour is the province of biology, it follows that the all-important task of explaining and understanding human behaviour, most especially the crux issue of our ‘good and evil’ conflicted human condition, has been the particular responsibility of biological scientists. However, given their inability to confront and think truthfully about any subject that brought the issue of the human condition into focus, which is almost all subjects to do with human behaviour, we can expect that very little truthful understanding of human behaviour has emerged from biology. And, as we will now see, that has indeed been the case, with extremely serious consequences.
In 1859 the very great biologist Charles Darwin wrote *The Origin of Species* which shed light on the origin of the variety of life on Earth. It is a seminal work that has been voted the most influential book in history, but even so it stops short of tackling the ‘origin’ of the one species we really needed to understand: us humans. In fact, humans are hardly mentioned in *The Origin of Species* — and Darwin readily admitted this shortfall because at the end of *Origin* he said, ‘In the distant future I see open fields for far more important researches... Light will be thrown on the origin of man and his history.’

Darwin’s reluctance to tackle ‘the origin of man and his history’ was an understandable and honest response given what has just been explained about how unbearably self-confronting and depressing the subject of human behaviour has been for virtually everyone—as the Cambridge scholar Jane Ellen Harrison has written, Darwin ‘foresaw that his doctrine must have, for the history of man’s mental evolution, issues wider than those with which he was prepared personally to deal’ (‘The Influence of Darwinism on the Study of Religions’, *Darwin and Modern Science*, ed. A.C. Seward, 1909, ch.25). (See paragraphs 188-189 in FREEDOM* for a more detailed description of Darwin’s avoidance of the human condition.)

Not all biologists, however, have been as scrupulous as Darwin. Despite not being able to confront the human condition, many have put themselves forward as objective thinkers about human behaviour, with the result being that all manner of dishonest supposed ‘explanations’ have been put forward for almost every aspect of human behaviour—a great industry of dishonest biology has developed around the subject of human behaviour. And, as I will now explain, all this dishonesty has been based on the ‘savage animal instincts’ excuse for our selfish and aggressive behaviour.
This excuse that we humans have brutish and savage instincts is one virtually everyone has been subscribing to. Our conversations are saturated with the ‘savage instincts’-based reason for our competitive, selfish and aggressive human-condition-affected behaviour, with comments like: ‘We are programmed by our genes to try to dominate others and be a winner in the battle of life’; and ‘Our preoccupation with sexual conquest is due to our primal instinct to sow our seeds’; and ‘Men behave abominably because their bodies are flooded with must-reproduce-their-genes-promoting testosterone’; and ‘We want a big house because we are innately territorial’; and ‘Fighting and war is just our animal nature expressing itself’; and ‘Religions are merely manifestations of our survival-driven group mentality’. And then there’s the most common comment of all, that ‘It’s just human nature to be selfish’. However, as I pointed out in the second video in this Introductory Series (F. Essay 2*), the savage, ‘must-reproduce-our-genes’ instincts explanation for our competitive, selfish and aggressive behaviour is a completely dishonest lie. Firstly, we humans have cooperative, selfless and loving moral instincts, the voice or expression of which within us is our conscience—which is the complete opposite of competitive, selfish and aggressive instincts. As Darwin recognised, ‘The moral sense perhaps affords the best and highest distinction between man and the lower animals’ (see par. 375 of FREEDOM*). And in order to have an altruistic moral instinctive nature, our distant ancestors must have been cooperative and loving, not competitive and aggressive like other animals. F. Essay 21* and chapter 5 of FREEDOM* explain that we acquired our extraordinarily altruistic moral instincts through nurturing, and describe how bonobos (a variety of chimpanzee that live south of the Congo River in Africa) are a living example of a species who are achieving this completely cooperative, selfless and loving state through nurturing; and in F. Essay 22* you can read about fossil evidence for this cooperative, selfless and loving past.
The second point I made was that descriptions of human behaviour, such as egocentric, arrogant, inspired, depressed, deluded, pessimistic, optimistic, artificial, hateful, cynical, mean, immoral, brilliant, guilt-ridden, evil, psychotic, neurotic, alienated, etc, all recognise the involvement of our species’ unique fully conscious thinking mind—they make it clear that there is a psychological dimension to our behaviour. We humans have suffered NOT from the genetic-opportunism-based, non-psychological animal condition, but the conscious-mind-based, PSYCHOLOGICALLY troubled HUMAN CONDITION (see par. 40 of FREEDOM*). And, most importantly, as we will see, psychosis can be healed with understanding, so our psychologically upset human nature is fixable or changeable—not unchangeable, which is what it would essentially be if our competitive, selfish and aggressive nature was instinctive; if it was something that is in our genes and we were born with.

The actual explanation for how we humans became psychologically upset sufferers of the angry, egocentric and alienated human condition was presented in Video/F. Essay 3*. What caused the upset was a clash between our original innocent, Edenic, cooperative, selfless and loving moral instincts and the emergence of our conscious mind. So yes, we have cooperative, selfless and loving instincts, not savage, competitive, selfish and aggressive ones.

What has been so extremely dangerous about the completely dishonest savage instincts excuse is how seductive it has been. When it came to avoiding the truth of our psychologically upset human condition, which, as emphasised, all resigned adults have been committed to doing, the ‘savage animal instincts’ excuse for our behaviour could hardly have been more seductive—because instead of our instincts being all-loving as they actually are, they are made out to be vicious and brutal; and, instead of our conscious mind being the seemingly destructive cause of our species’ corrupted so-called ‘fall from grace’, the cause of our departure from our species’ original Garden-of-Eden state of innocence, as was the case, it was made out to be the blameless, mediating ‘hero’ who had to try to control those supposed savage instincts within us! Rather than our instincts appearing to be good, and our angry, egocentric and alienated conscious mind appearing to be bad because it, in effect, caused the corruption of our original innocent state, which is the true description of what happened, our instincts were made out to be bad and our conscious intellect to be good—which, while fabulously relieving of our conscious mind’s immense guilt for having destroyed the innocent world of our instinctive self or soul, was a complete reverse of the truth lie!

(see also par. 153 of FREEDOM*)
Our ape ancestors were innocent, loving nurturers,

Paleoartist Jay H. Mattemes’s unusually honest reconstruction of our ancestor, the 4.4 mya *Ardipithecus ramidus*, which appeared in the Dec. 2009 edition of *Science* — see F. Essay 22 for more on the fossil evidence of our nurtured past.

NOT savage, barbaric brutes as they have for so long been portrayed.

It is us humans now who are psychotic angry egocentric and alienated, seemingly ‘evil’ monsters!

Detail from Jean-Michel Basquiat’s 1982 ‘Untitled’ painting which was sold in May 2017 for $US110.5 million, which, at the time, was the sixth most expensive artwork ever sold at auction, no doubt because of its extraordinarily honest portrayal of the true nature of our present horrifically psychologically upset human condition — see F. Essay 30.
What now has to be described is just how enormous the ramifications of this lie that we have savage instincts has been. What has happened is that the extreme seductiveness for human-condition-avoiding resigned humans of dishonestly blaming their corrupted condition on savage instincts has meant that almost all biologists have adopted and perpetuated it. Indeed, as we will now see, this has happened to such an extent that the savage instincts excuse has been used by biologists to dishonestly explain almost every aspect of human behaviour!

**A brief summary of the main dishonest savage-instincts-based biological explanations for human behaviour**

Some of the many science books accounting for human behaviour using the false ‘savage instincts’ excuse.

I’ll begin this exposé by giving a very brief summary of the history of the main dishonest savage-instincts-based biological explanations for human behaviour. An in-depth description of this history is presented in chapters 2* and 6* of my book *FREEDOM*.

The first dishonest theory for human behaviour to be based on the dishonest human-condition-avoiding, moral-soul-denying savage instincts excuse was Social Darwinism. This theory misrepresented Charles Darwin’s great breakthrough insight of natural selection as a ‘survival of the fittest’ process. Natural selection is the mechanism by which some members of a population reproduce more than others in a given environment, and, most significantly, in the first edition of *The Origin of Species*, the book that launched his concept of natural selection, Darwin correctly left it undecided as to whether those individuals that reproduced more could be viewed as winners, as being ‘fitter’. However, as I describe in paragraph 195 of *FREEDOM*®, in later editions Darwin was persuaded by his associates Herbert Spencer and Alfred Russel Wallace to substitute the term ‘natural selection’ with the term ‘survival of the fittest’. The malignant attraction of this misinterpretation was that it reinforced this dishonest excuse that humans had already been using for our competitive, selfish and aggressive behaviour, which is that our forebears weren’t cooperative and loving, but competitive and aggressive savages preoccupied with survival and domination of each other like other animals, and that we, in the sense of ‘we’ being our conscious thinking self, are the blameless heroes having to try to control these supposed savage instincts in us. As I have said, since it was the emergence of our conscious mind that led to the corruption of our species’ original cooperative and loving instinctive life, it was a complete reverse-of-the-truth lie to argue the opposite and claim our instincts were competitive, selfish and brutal and our intellect a blameless mediating hero.
(I should explain that the reason Darwin was honest to leave it undecided as to whether those who reproduced more are ‘fitter’ is because, as is explained in F. Essay 25* and paragraph 358 of FREEDOM*, being unconditionally selfless, where you give your life to help others and don’t seek to reproduce more, can be a biologically meaningful, ‘fitter’, outcome. To very briefly explain why it can be meaningful, as is explained in F. Essay 23*, the meaning of existence is to develop ever larger and more stable wholes of matter (due to the law of Negative Entropy, atoms come together to form molecules, which come together or integrate to form compounds, which integrate to form single-celled organisms, which in turn integrate to form multicellular organisms, which then integrate to form societies, and so on)—and unconditionally selfless self-sacrifice for the good of the whole is the very theme of this integrative process because it maintains wholes. Selfishness is divisive and disintegrative while selfless consideration of others maintains wholes, it is integrative. The fact that the gene-based natural selection process cannot normally develop unconditional selflessness between sexually reproducing individuals—because selfless traits don’t tend to reproduce—is simply a limitation of the gene-based learning system. Integrative selflessness is the real characteristic of existence, the theme of life, hence its meaningfulness.)

E.O. Wilson (1929–)

The problem that emerged for human-condition-avoiding, moral-soul-denying, so-called ‘mechanistic’ or ‘reductionist’ biologists with Social Darwinism’s dishonest excuse that ‘animals are selfish and preoccupied with domination and that’s why we are selfish and preoccupied with achieving as much dominating power, fame, fortune and glory as we can’. is that it didn’t account for humans’ unconditionally selfless, altruistic, moral instincts, the ‘voice’ of which, as I have said, is our ‘conscience’. Undeterred by this failing, it was that master of human-condition-avoiding, dishonest biology, the much acclaimed Harvard-University-based biologist Edward O. Wilson (he has most wrongly been described as the ‘living heir to Darwin’ and ‘the world’s greatest scientist’), who contrived an even more dishonest solution to this problem—all still based on the dishonest, must-reproduce-your-genes, selfish and nasty instincts excuse. In his momentous 1975 book, Sociobiology: The New Synthesis (which was quickly followed by others’ books, like Richard Dawkins’s 1976 book The Selfish Gene), Wilson acknowledged selfless moral
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behave in humans but argued that it is really only a subtle form of selfishness where we are indirectly promoting the reproduction of our genes by fostering others who are related to us. As Wilson said about this human-condition-avoiding, altruistic-moral-soul-denying ‘kin selection’ theory (which became known as Evolutionary Psychology), ‘Morality has no other demonstrable function’ other than to ensure ‘human genetic material…will be kept intact’; even saying that ‘[the philosopher Jean-Jacques] Rousseau claimed [that humanity] was originally a race of noble savages in a peaceful state of nature, who were later corrupted…[but what] Rousseau invented [was] a stunningly inaccurate form of anthropology’!! (see par. 197 of FREEDOM*).

In time it became accepted that while kin selection explains the cooperative behaviour of animals like ants and bees, it doesn’t actually acknowledge and explain humans’ altruistic moral nature. As the journalist Bryan Appleyard pointed out about this dishonest application of the kin selection theory, biologists ‘still have a gaping hole in an attempt to explain altruism. If, for example, I help a blind man cross the street, it is plainly unlikely that I am being prompted to do this because he is a close relation and bears my genes. And the world is full of all sorts of elaborate forms of cooperation which extend far beyond the boundaries of mere relatedness.’ (see par. 199 of FREEDOM*)

To contrive a solution to this problem, Wilson then, in his 2012 book, The Social Conquest of Earth, put forward the absolutely outrageously dishonest Multilevel Selection theory for eusociality (genuine sociality). Where previously Wilson had limited himself to getting rid of the truth of our altruistic moral instinctive self or soul, he now set about using lies to dispose of the great overarching, main problem facing humankind of our conscious-mind-induced, psychologically upset HUMAN CONDITION! The brazenness of this assault is evident in the first sentence of The Social Conquest of Earth, which reads, ‘There is no grail more elusive or precious in the life of the mind than the key to understanding the human condition’! Yes, the human condition IS the holy grail of the human journey, but what Wilson does is not provide that most ‘precious’ ‘grail’ of all ‘in the life of the mind’, as his opening sentence suggested he was going to do, rather he sets about nullifying the chance of it ever being found, and, by so doing, commits humanity to extinction! Pure hypocrisy, hypocrisy of the absolute worst possible kind!

Basically, the Multilevel Selection theory argues that along with supposed competitive, survival of the fittest, must-reproduce-your-own-genes, selfish instincts, we
humans also have operating at another level some cooperative, selfless ‘moral’ instincts derived from so-called ‘group selection’ (thus countering Evolutionary Psychology’s denial of the existence of humans’ unconditionally selfless moral instincts). The truth, however—which Wilson tries to bluff his way past by claiming effective warfare depended on group cooperation—is that the idea of ‘group selection’ giving rise to selfless instincts has been fully discredited on the grounds that any selflessness that develops within a group will be constantly exploited by cheaters—‘By all means, help me reproduce my genes but I’m not about to help you reproduce yours.’ The biologist Jerry Coyne pointed this logic out when he wrote that ‘group selection for altruism would be unlikely to override the tendency of each group to quickly lose its altruism through natural selection favoring cheaters’. However, despite this obvious flaw in the theory of group selection, those human-condition-avoiding mechanistic, reductionist biologists who have accepted the Multilevel Selection Theory have persuaded themselves to adopt it. (see par. 203 of FREEDOM*)

Overall, while the Multilevel Selection theory added unconditionally selfless instincts to selfish instincts in the mix of what allegedly forms our species’ instinctive make-up, in essence, it still amounted to a continuation of the same old reverse-of-the-truth, ‘escape-rather-than-confront-the-human-condition’ agenda—that humans have villainous selfish instincts and a blameless conscious mind that has to heroically ‘step-in’ to control them. (see pars 210-214 of FREEDOM*)

As mentioned, what is outrageous, and extremely dangerous, is how Wilson has used the Multilevel Selection theory to supposedly explain that ‘grail’ which is the most ‘precious in the life of the mind’ of the human condition, saying in The Social Conquest of Earth that ‘Individual selection is responsible for much of what we call sin, while group selection is responsible for the greater part of virtue. Together they have created the conflict between the poorer and the better angels of our nature.’ In summary, Wilson asserted that ‘The dilemma of good and evil was created by multilevel selection’. Clever semblance of our conflicted condition, diabolically clever, but entirely untrue! (see par. 201 of FREEDOM*)
So, according to Wilson, rather than having an original completely unconditionally selfless, altruistic, moral instinctive self or soul, which we then corrupted when we became fully conscious some 2 million years ago and developed an upset angry, egocentric and alienated psychosis, which is the true description of the origin of our condition, we simply have some instincts that want us to behave selflessly and some that don’t. While a ‘virtuous’, ‘better angels’, ‘good’ part of ourselves exists in Wilson’s ‘we have some selfless instincts’ account, there is no guilt from our conscious mind’s corruption of our completely selfless moral soul. What this means is that Wilson’s account of the human condition is non-judgmental in the sense that there are no real values, no notion of the absolutes of good vs evil or right vs wrong in the true, moral sense. What a relief for guilt-stricken humans, but what an incredible fraud! What Wilson has done with his non-psychological, no-guilt-stricken-conscious-mind-involved account is not explain the human condition but nullify it, render the issue benign, virtually inconsequential—and in doing so he is effectively burying humanity ‘a long way underground’ in the darkest depths of Plato’s ‘cave’ of denial that I described in Video/F. Essay 11*. (see par. 214 of FREEDOM)*

I provided clear evidence that Wilson’s Multilevel Selection theory is nothing but an outrageously dishonest contrived excuse for our condition when, in Video/F. Essay 4*, I referred to the many great thinkers in history who have recognised the instinct vs intellect elements involved in producing our psychologically distressed human condition. We even saw in Video/F. Essay 10* how the great 19th century poet William Blake recognised that our distressed condition is a result of a clash between the ‘two contrary states’ of an ‘innocent’, ‘lamb’-like, cooperative and loving moral instinctive heritage and our ‘Experience’-based conscious mind. Much more evidence of just how obvious the instinct vs intellect explanation for the human condition is when someone is prepared to think honestly and truthfully about the subject is presented in F. Essay 53*.

Again, chapters 2* and 6 of FREEDOM* provide an in-depth description of this history of the dishonest savage-instincts-based biological explanations for human behaviour.
Left-wing dogma has been leading humanity straight to extinction

What I now need to explain is that the most dangerous use by far that has been made of the savage instincts excuse is its use in justifying the dogmatic imposition of ‘good’ or ‘correct’ cooperative and loving behaviour on our supposedly competitive and aggressive unchangeable innate, born-with instincts. The basis of the left-wing political philosophy is that since we humans supposedly have unchangeable savage instincts then it follows that we have no choice other than to dogmatically impose ‘good’ or ‘correct’ cooperative and loving behaviour on those supposedly unchangeable competitive and aggressive instincts.

Once this excuse that we have savage animal instincts that we are born with and therefore can’t change is accepted then the left-wing, politically correct movement’s imposition of dogma is justified. But, as emphasised, that underpinning ‘savage animal instincts’ explanation is wrong, so the fundamentals of the left-wing’s philosophy are completely wrong. Again, as I explained in Video/F. Essay 3*, ours is a psychologically troubled condition—a psychosis—and understanding can heal a psychosis, so we need understanding, which is the opposite of mindless, blind dogma. In fact, idealistic, politically correct dogma oppresses the search for knowledge because it stifles the freedom to be, to a degree, competitive, aggressive and selfish, which, as the Adam Stork story in Video/F. Essay 3 reveals, was the price we humans had to be prepared to pay for searching for knowledge, ultimately for self-knowledge, for the reconciling, redeeming and psychologically transforming understanding of the human condition. Stopping the upsetting search for knowledge stopped us from achieving freedom from our upset angry, egocentric and alienated condition. Politically correct dogma worked against our species achieving freedom from the human condition. Paradoxically, instead of bringing about the cooperative and loving, ideal, ‘correct’ world it promoted itself as doing, left-wing dogma was leading humanity straight to extinction because it was stopping the all-important search for the knowledge that would liberate us from our condition! It was not ‘progressive’, as it liked to describe itself, it was regressive.

That’s the phenomenal importance and power of the Adam Stork story in Video/F. Essay 3*: it finally explains that there was a vital, all-important, good reason for the angry, egocentric and alienated upset in humans. It explains and defends our immensely upsetting but immensely heroic search for knowledge.

The problem has been that the longer the upsetting search for knowledge/understanding of the human condition went on, the more upset angry, egocentric and alienated the human race became, that being the consequences of searching for knowledge. In the end what happened was that the human race became so psychologically exhausted by the upsetting search, so overly angry, egocentric and alienated, that many couldn’t resist the relief of giving up the upsetting search and siding with the cooperative and loving world of our instincts. In the Adam Stork analogy, ever-increasing numbers of humans ended up just wanting to ‘fly back on course’ and obey the cooperative ideals of our instincts—abandon the honest reality of humanity’s predicament of having to participate in the great heroic upsetting battle to find knowledge and instead choose an irresponsible, unrealistic and dishonest life of cowardice where they just bask in the feel good relief of behaving in a cooperative, ideal, ‘correct’ way, and signal to the world that they are virtuous. They no longer wanted to pursue the all-important but upsetting search
for knowledge, ultimately for self-knowledge, understanding of the human condition that is required to actually bring an end to all the ever-increasing upset/psychosis in the world. Basically, they have been voting for the death of the human race from terminal levels of psychosis—because unless the fully accountable, truthful understanding of the human condition was found, as it thankfully now is, that upset psychosis was only going to continue increasing. That is the truth: proponents of left-wing philosophy have been advocating that the human race perish in a writhing heap of indescribable psychotic agony, and, to cope with that, epidemic levels of autistic detachment and dissociation—and that state of terminal alienation for the human race is very rapidly approaching. F. Essay 55* describes this terrible endgame state the human race has now entered.

The great philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche perfectly understood the crisis that is upon us when he wrote this description of the endgame stage of humanity’s great journey from ignorance to enlightenment: ‘There have always been many sickly people among those who invent fables and long for God [ideality]: they have a raging hate for the enlightened man and for that youngest of virtues which is called honesty…Purer and more honest of speech is the healthy body, perfect and square-built: and it speaks of the meaning of the earth [which is to fight for knowledge, ultimately self-knowledge, understanding of the human condition]…You are not yet free, you still search for freedom. Your search has fatigued you…But, by my love and hope I entreat you: do not reject the hero in your soul! Keep holy your highest hope!…War [against the oppression of dogma] and courage have done more great things than charity. Not your pity but your bravery has saved the unfortunate up to now…What warrior wants to be spared? I do not spare you, I love you from the very heart, my brothers in war!’ (Thus Spoke Zarathustra, 1892; tr. R.J. Hollingdale, 1961, pp.61-75 of 343). It needs emphasising that what Nietzsche means by ‘war’ is the underlying battle against oppression from the condemnation of our moral ‘correct’-behaviour-insisting, dogmatic, ignorant, instinctive self or soul. In another of his books, Beyond Good and Evil, Nietzsche similarly wrote that ‘There comes a time in a culture’s history when it becomes so pathologically soft that it takes the side of its worst enemy [takes up support of forms of non-understanding, unquestioning, dogmatic, give-up-the-battle, ‘fly-back-on-course’, makes-you-feel-good pseudo idealism]…and calls it “progress”’ (tr. 1886, sec. 201).
Yes, while it wasn’t wrong to rest from the upsetting battle to find knowledge (ultimately to find self-knowledge, understanding of the human condition) when we became overly angry, egocentric and alienated—indeed rest and recuperation have been vital—what was false and dangerously misleading was to claim that abandoning the battle was the way to win the battle, and the path to follow, which is what the culture of the left-wing in politics has effectively been deludedly and dogmatically insisting happen. Compassion and kindness towards those who were suffering or less fortunate was very important, but as Nietzsche said, we had to ‘keep holy’ our ‘highest hope’ of achieving ‘the meaning’ of our existence of finding ‘enlightened’ understanding of ourselves. We humans needed reconciling, healing and transforming understanding of our psychologically upset and distressed human condition, not mindless, answerless, straight-jacketing, dogmatic discipline of that upset state. We needed to be able to think our way to sanity, not abandon thinking and just behave like brain-dead robots. We conscious thinking humans needed brain food, not brain anaesthetic. We needed answers. De-braining ourselves, giving up thinking, just dogmatically insisting that everyone be good, is fraudulent and gets us nowhere, in fact it leads the human race straight to extinction. The only thing that gets us out of the human condition is understanding of the human condition, but the left-wing culture is all about giving up on finding understanding.

Karl Marx, the political philosopher whose mid-nineteenth century theories gave rise to socialism and communism, was wrong when he said that ‘The philosophers have only interpreted the world in various ways; the point is [not to understand the world but] to change it’ (Theses on Feuerbach, 1845). By ‘change it’ Marx meant just make it cooperative or social or communal, but he was wrong. The whole ‘point’ and responsibility of being a conscious being is to understand our world and place in it, ultimately to find understanding of our psychologically upset and corrupted human condition. Biologists like E.O. Wilson’s denial of the truth of our species’ conscious-mind-induced psychologically troubled human
condition has allowed and empowered this left-wing attitude that we have to dogmatically impose cooperative ideal values on society. Dishonest biology has been used to justify dangerously oppressive left-wing dogma!

So that is how precious the fully accountable explanation of the human condition in FREEDOM is—in the nick of time it is able to stop this mad left-wing Marxist march to a death by dogma end of the human race!

F. Essays 34* & 35* provide a more in-depth description of why the culture of the left-wing is fundamentally flawed, while F. Essay 36* adds even more description of the left’s misuse of the false ‘savage instincts’ biology and its extremely dangerous consequences.

**The rabid extent to which the left-wing has been using the ‘savage instincts’ justification for its culture**

What I want to conclude this essay with is a description of just how far the left-wing has now gone in using the ‘savage instincts’ justification for its culture.

A 2012 article titled ‘The left uses evolution to explain everything’ by the American journalist and author Dennis Prager (see www.wtmsources.com/217*) described how the left-wing has adopted the dishonest ‘savage instincts’ excuse to the extent that they’re now using it to justify every aspect of their culture, ‘warning…of the danger involved in allowing the left to use science – and evolution in particular – to empower government to coerce its citizens [to, as just explained, comply with their politically correct culture of having to impose idealism on our supposed savage instincts]’. Prager added, ‘evolution is offered as the left’s explanation for virtually everything. Evolution explains love, altruism, morality, economic behavior, God, religion, intelligence’. Now, to break this rubbish down: mechanistic science and the left argue that
‘love, altruism, morality’ are basically all subtle forms of selfishness based on the need to reproduce our genes (see par. 197 of FREEDOM*)—see F. Essay 23* for the real meaning of love as unconditional selflessness, and F. Essay 27* for the reason for romantic love, and see F. Essay 21* for the real nurturing explanation for our altruistic, moral nature. In the case of our selfish, greedy, capitalist ‘economic behavior’, the false biological theories I have described would have us believe that this is all driven by a need to reproduce our genes, whereas Video/F. Essay 3* provides the truthful, psychosis-based justification for our selfish, greedy, need-for-material-relief behaviour. In the case of ‘God’ and ‘religion’, both are supposedly a result of the need to be controlled so we can better reproduce our genes (see par. 215 of FREEDOM*)—see F. Essay 23* for the real explanation of God, and F. Essay 39* for the real psychological explanation for religion. And in the case of ‘intelligence’, it supposedly has developed from the need to manage complex social situations, again so we can better reproduce our genes (see par. 507 of FREEDOM*), but the real explanation for how we humans became conscious is presented in F. Essay 24*.

As one more example of this misuse of dishonest science, Prager referred to the assertion by the left that ‘those who do not support same-sex marriage are not recognizing the indisputable, scientific fact that homosexuality is a natural phenomenon’. This is a classic illustration—claiming that the sex humans practice is no different from the sex animals practice when they try to reproduce their genes. But the truth, as explained in chapter 8:11B of FREEDOM* and summarised in F. Essay 27*, is that sex as humans practice it—including homosexuality—is a result of being psychologically upset, so there’s no relationship between the homosexuality that occurs in animals and humans. Again, our behaviour is psychosis-driven. So this is a classic illustration of the left’s misuse of dishonest science: they have taken this false savage instincts excuse for human behaviour and said it explains homosexuality in humans and used that assertion to defend same-sex marriage.

We see this particular dishonest biology, that everything about human sexual relationships is due to a need to reproduce our genes, everywhere; for example, it’s claimed that men are attracted to young women because they’re more fertile and will more successfully reproduce our genes, when it’s the innocence, or innocent appearance, of younger women that makes them more attractive for humans’ psychosis-driven sexual destruction (again, see F. Essay 27*).

So the left wing is using dishonest science to support all its positions. As Prager’s article goes on to say, ‘To differ with the left is not only definitionally sexist, intolerant, xenophobic, homophobic, Islamophobic, racist and bigoted (SIXHIRB as I have labeled it) – it is now against science itself.’ This is how insidious left-wing culture is, and, again, it’s all coming off this completely false idea that we have savage animal instincts. As Prager says, the left are ‘using science as a tool to dictate what is to be tolerated and what is to be mocked’.

Most significantly, Prager’s article also said that ‘The left has always sought single, non-values based explanations for human behavior’ and ‘the absence of absolutes, (good vs evil, right vs wrong)’. And that’s what the left claim they have with E.O. Wilson’s dishonest, human-condition-avoiding Multilevel Selection theory. As I’ve described, the Multilevel Selection theory is supposedly a non-values based, non-judgmental system that dishonestly says that we don’t suffer from a psychologically troubled human condition; rather, through the use of the dishonest group selection theory, it just argues that ‘OK, along with selfish instincts
we do have some selfless instincts’. It’s a complete belittling of what our instinctive self or soul is, and a complete and dangerous dismissal of our psychosis. Everything that’s true and important about humans is completely butchered, destroyed by these dishonest arguments.

The truth—which, because it’s so serious, I reiterate in *F. Essay 36: Saving Western Civilisation from the religion of left-wing dogma*, and *F. Essay 40: Judgment Day finally explained*—is that all of biology now is a great fraud, as is virtually every other branch of science because they are all infected by dishonest mechanistic biological thinking. All our universities are really just ivory towers of intellectual dishonesty, just castles of lies. As Plato so accurately described the situation (see Video/F. Essay 11*), everyone’s hiding in a dark cave of dishonest denial. All there is to read now is ‘cave-speak’ rubbish, and it has all culminated in a dangerous takeover of the world by dogma, which is the greatest threat the world has ever seen given what was really needed was freedom of expression and the search for knowledge that it made possible. The human race has entered the darkest era the world has ever known, the ultimate Dark Age—and, before long, the death by dogma extinction of the human race! Again, *F. Essay 55: Endgame for the human race* presents an accurate description of how serious the situation is.

**Summary**

In summary, what we in the World Transformation Movement are providing is the psychosis-addressing-and-solving real explanation of the human condition. What the left-wing have been putting forward is only an imitation of a human-condition-free world, and because its pseudo, artificial imitation suppresses the search for knowledge needed to actually bring about a human-condition-free world, it has been leading the way not to freedom from the human condition, as it deludes itself and arrogantly proclaims to the world it is doing, but AWAY FROM IT. The philosophy of the left-wing is an extremely dangerous con job, a malignant fake—it was not the cure for the troubled state of the world, it was is in fact the poison. The actual biological explanation of the human condition that we in the WTM are presenting is genuinely non-values based—because the explanation in the Adam Stork story does actually eliminate forever the notion of good and evil. It acknowledges that we’re psychologically upset but explains there’s a good reason for why we became upset—so it does actually remove guilt; it provides the real ‘absence of absolutes, (good vs evil, right vs wrong)’ explanation. Those concepts have been dismantled truthfully and genuinely and actually because the old defensive and insecure angry, egocentric and alienated, power, fame, fortune and glory ways of justifying ourselves are now obsoleted by the real biological understanding of ourselves. The real understanding of the human condition we are presenting actually brings about the now absolutely desperately needed, and 2-million-year-hungered-for, transformation of the human race!

The final important point to emphasise is that now that we have the fully accountable real explanation of the human condition, we can at last explain and understand that while the right-wing and the left were both using the dishonest ‘savage instincts’ biology to justify their philosophies, the right-wing was using it in the cause of good, namely in support of the upsetting search for knowledge, while the left-wing was using it in the cause...
of what was bad, indeed, extremely dangerous, namely in blocking and even determinedly opposing that all-important upsetting search for knowledge. THE ENORMOUS BENEFIT OF THIS CLARIFYING INSIGHT IS THAT WHILE IT HASN’T BEEN POSSIBLE TO HALT THE TERRIFYING HUMAN-RACE-DESTROYING LEFT-WING POLITICALLY CORRECT TIDE OF DOGMA TAKING OVER THE WORLD, WE NOW HAVE THE INSIGHT NEEDED TO STOP IT! We can now finally clearly explain what is SO wrong and dangerous about the left-wing’s culture. So that is what is so precious about the presentation in this essay, and in later F. Essays 34*, 35* & 36* which elaborate on this presentation. Of course, FREEDOM chapters 8:15* and 8:16*, which present the definitive explanation of what’s wrong with the culture of the left-wing, are the most precious of all.

Yes, ultimately, heroic efforts to explain and justify right-wing politics by the likes of Ayn Rand and most recently Jordan Peterson, were doomed to fail because their arguments were still based on the biologically dishonest Social Darwinist ‘savage instinct’ excuse for human behaviour—that we humans are innately competitive, aggressive and selfish. Rand believed we have to use our mind to effectively manage a selfish nature, and Peterson believes we have a competitive, dominance-hierarchy-based instinctive heritage. It was only by exposing the lie at the heart of the left-wing’s philosophy that it could be halted.

And, importantly, not only does the explanation that is being presented here expose and destroy the underpinning argument of left-wing politics, by providing the psychosis-addressing-and-solving, real explanation of the human condition, the actual, real, true, authentic way to end all the competitive and aggressive behaviour of humans, and transform the human race, is provided. As summarised in paragraph 1136 of FREEDOM*, that is the final irony of the saga of humanity’s great journey from ignorance to enlightenment—the ideal world that the left-wing has been dogmatically demanding is actually brought about by the right-wing winning its reality-defending, freedom-from-idealism, corrupting-search-for-knowledge battle against the freedom-oppressing pseudo idealistic dogma of the left-wing. With the freedom-from-dogma right-wing’s search for understanding of the human condition completed by the finding of understanding of the human condition, the justification for the egocentric power-fame-fortune-and-glory-seeking way of life espoused by the right-wing ends, replaced by the ideal-behaviour-obeying attitude that the left-wing sought. In this sense, when the right-wing wins we all become left-wing; through the success of the philosophy of the right-wing, we all adopt
the ideal values sought by the philosophy of the left-wing—but, most significantly, this
time we are not abandoning and opposing an ongoing battle, we are leaving it won!

So this is how the seemingly intractable ‘cultural war’ between left and right wing
politics finally plays out. Basically, the whole horrible business of politics is brought
to an end and the human race saved—BUT THE GREAT ‘DEAF EFFECT’ BLOCK TO
ACCESSING THE ALL-RELIEVING AND ALL-SOLVING UNDERSTANDING OF THE
HUMAN CONDITION, which is a problem I emphasised in the first video/essay and again
in the previous three videos/essays, STILL HAS TO BE OVERCOME FOR THE HUMAN
RACE TO ACTUALLY BE SAVED.

In the next essay, F. Essay 15*, much more will be explained about the fabulous
transformation of the human race that’s now on offer for every human once they get
through the ‘deaf effect’ and are able to access and understand the denial-free, truthful
explanation of the human condition.

As mentioned, much more is explained about the extreme danger of the left-wing’s
culture in F. Essays 34*, 35* and 36*, with chapters 8:15* and 8:16 of FREEDOM*
presenting the definitive description of this great danger.

The short booklet Transform Your Life And Save The World* provides an excellent
summary of firstly all the dishonest biology in Part 1, then all the truthful biology in Part
2, concluding in Part 3 with how the true understanding of the human condition enables
every human now to immediately be transformed to a life that is free of the human
condition.

For the comprehensive treatment of all the dishonesty in biology now, read chapter 2*
and chapter 6 of FREEDOM*.

Please Note, if you are online you can read, print, download or listen to (as
a podcast) any of the following Freedom Essays by clicking on them, or you
can find them all at www.humancondition.com*.

INTRODUCTION TO THE EXPLANATION & RESOLUTION OF THE HUMAN
CONDITION: Freedom Essay 1 Your block to the most wonderful of all gifts* | 2 The false
‘savage instincts’ excuse* | 3 THE EXPLANATION of the human condition* | 4 The ‘instinct
vs intellect’ explanation is obvious – short* | 5 The transformation of the human race* | 6 Our
Denialators song ‘Let’s Go!’* | 7 Praise from Prof. Prosen* | 8 “How this liberated me from
racism”* | 9 “This is the real liberation of women”* | 10 What exactly is the human condition?*
| 11 The difficulty of reading FREEDOM and the solution* | 12 One hour summarising talk* | 13 The WTM Deaf Effect Course* | 14 Savage instincts excuse leads to human extinction* | 15 How your life can immediately be transformed* | 16 WTM Centres opening everywhere* | THE
BOOKS: 17 Commendations* | 18 FREEDOM chapter synopses* | 19 FREEDOM’s significance
by Prof. Prosen* | 20 The genius of Transform Your Life* | THE OTHER KEY BIOLOGICAL
EXPLANATIONS: 21 How did we humans acquire our altruistic moral conscience?* | 22
Fossil discoveries evidence our nurtured origins* | 23 Integrative Meaning or ‘God’* | 24 How
did consciousness emerge in humans?* | 25 The truthful biology of life* | • Survey seeking feedback* | MEN & WOMEN RECONCILED: 26 Men and women reconciled* | 27 Human sex and relationships explained* | THE END OF RACISM: 28 The end of racism* | 29 Can conflict ever end?* | RESIGNATION: 30 Resignation* | 31 Wordsworth’s all-revealing great poem* | YOUR TRANSFORMATION: 32 More on the transformation* | 33 Jeremy on how to become transformed* | THE END OF POLITICS: 34 This understanding ends the polarised world of politics* | 35 Left-wing politics leads to death of the human race* | 36 Saving Western civilisation from left-wing dogma* | 37 The meaning of superhero and disaster films* | RELIGION DECODED: 38 Noah’s Ark explained* | 39 Christ explained* | 40 Judgment Day finally explained* | 41 Science’s scorn of religion* | MEANING OF ART & CULTURE: 42 Cave paintings* | 43 Ceremonial masks explained* | 44 Art makes the invisible visible* | • Second survey seeking feedback* | 45 Prophetic songs* | 46 Anne Frank’s faith in human goodness fulfilled* | 47 Humour and swearing explained* | 48 R.D. Laing’s fearless honesty* | ABOUT BIOLOGIST JEREMY GRIFFITH: 49 Jeremy’s biography* | 50 Australia’s role* | 51 Sir Laurens van der Post’s great vision* | 52 Jeremy’s children’s book A Perfect Life* | 53 The ‘instinct vs intellect’ explanation is obvious – long* | 54 The accusation of hubris* | DO WE FAIL OR DO WE MAKE IT? 55 Endgame for the human race* | 56 Why there have been ferocious attacks on the WTM* | 57 Magnificence of the Transformed State – video 1* | 58 Magnificence of the Transformed State – video 2* | From here on are Transformation Affirmations and More Good Info Emails* 

These essays were created in 2017-2018 by Jeremy Griffith, Damon Isherwood, Fiona Cullen-Ward, Brony FitzGerald & Lee Jones of the Sydney WTM Centre. All filming and editing of the videos was carried out by Sydney WTM members James Press & Tess Watson during 2017-2018. Other members of the Sydney WTM Centre are responsible for the distribution and marketing of the videos/essays, and for providing subscriber support.